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1.0 INTRODUCTION

This Foothill Yellow-legged Frog (*Rana boylii*) Monitoring Plan (FYLFMP) was developed for the Placer County Water Agency’s (PCWA) Middle Fork American River Project (MFP or Project) located on the west slope of the Sierra Nevada range primarily in Placer County, California. Foothill yellow-legged frog (*Rana boylii*) (hereafter, FYLF), is a United States Department of Agriculture-Forest Service (USDA-FS) Sensitive Species and California Species of Special Concern.

The goal of the FYLFMP is to characterize populations of FYLF in selected bypass and peaking reaches associated with MFP during implementation of the new license. Information collected as part of the FYLFMP will be presented along with the historical FYLF population data collected during MFP relicensing (AQ 12 – Special-Status Amphibian and Aquatic Reptile Technical Study Report 2007 [AQ 12 – TSR]; PCWA 2011).

2.0 FYLFMP ORGANIZATION

The FYLFMP is organized into the following sections:

**Section 3.0 FYLFMP Objective:** This section defines the purpose of the FYLFMP.

**Section 4.0 Monitoring Approach:** This section describes the approach for monitoring FYLF populations over the term of the new license, including monitoring locations and schedule and sampling and analyses methods. The section also includes a description of special purpose monitoring studies.

**Section 5.0 Reporting and Consultation:** This section outlines reporting that will be required over the term of the new license and describes State and Federal agency consultation that would be conducted following the completion of each monitoring period.

**Section 6.0 Literature Cited:** This section provides a list of documents or other resources that are referenced in the FYLFMP.

3.0 FYLFMP OBJECTIVES

The FYLFMP describes monitoring and reporting of FYLF populations at select sites in the bypass and peaking reaches associated with the MFP over the term of the license. This information will be used to characterize FYLF populations (abundance and distribution) associated with the MFP. Specifically, the objectives of the FYLFMP are to:

- General Purpose Monitoring
  - Monitor FYLF species abundance (egg masses, tadpoles, and young-of-the-year [YOTY]) in select locations in the bypass and peaking reaches, including tributaries;
o Document the approximate upstream distribution of FYLF in the Rubicon River and the Middle Fork American River (upstream of Ralston Afterbay); and

o Determine the approximate timing of the initiation of FYLF breeding season in the Rubicon River and Middle Fork American River (upstream of Ralston Afterbay).

- Special Purpose Monitoring

  o Monitor FYLF initiation of breeding timing in relation to the pulse flow releases during the initial implementation years (Middle Fork American River and Rubicon River);

  o Monitor FYLF in relation to flows during the annual fall maintenance outage during the initial implementation years (Middle Fork American River and Rubicon River); and

  o Monitor FYLF in relation to potential flow changes during emergency power outages.

4.0 MONITORING APPROACH

This section describes the approach for general and special purpose monitoring of FYLF populations.

4.1 GENERAL PURPOSE MONITORING

The general purpose monitoring field sampling methods and analyses are consistent with those conducted in 2007 for the AQ 12 – TSR (PCWA 2011). The monitoring locations and schedule, sampling methods, and analysis methods are discussed below.

4.1.1 Monitoring Locations and Schedule

FYLF monitoring sites in the bypass and peaking reaches and comparison river reaches are provided in FYLFMP Table 1 and depicted on FYLFMP Map 1. FYLF populations at these locations were sampled in 2007 as part of the MFP relicensing studies (AQ 12 – TSR [PCWA 2011]).

FYLF monitoring will be conducted in three of the first five years to represent different water year types. The goal of sampling three years in the first five years of the new license is to represent the three different categories of water year types: (1) wet/above normal; (2) below normal; and (3) dry/critical. If all three categories do not occur within the first five years, then PCWA will conduct surveys during the first occurrence of any outstanding water year type(s) until all three categories have been represented.

Monitoring will also be conducted in years 7, 8, 13, 14 and thereafter in the 4th, 5th, 9th, and 10th year of every subsequent ten year period for the term of the license except for
the last ten year period. Monitoring efforts in the last ten year period of the license will include data collection in the 4th and 5th years and will include data collection for three consecutive years immediately prior to the filling of the NOI to provide baseline information for the next relicensing process. Two sites in the Peaking Reach (AMPH MF9.3 and AMPH MF24.4) will only be sampled every other monitoring year listed above.

In addition, annually for the first ten years after license issuance, egg mass and early tadpole abundance will be monitored twice in the spring/early summer and YOY juvenile abundance will be monitored once in the late September/early October at Middle Fork American River above Ralston Afterbay (AMPH MF26.2) and Rubicon River at Ellicott Bridge (AMPH R20.9). The purpose of the annual monitoring is to understand between year variability and identify any unusual population deviations that may occur. At the end of the ten years, the annual monitoring will be reviewed. Based on the survey results, the USDA-FS, State Water Board, CDFG and PCWA will determine whether to continue the monitoring and/or modify the monitoring locations.

4.1.2 Sampling Methods

The sampling methods include abundance surveys, distribution surveys, timing of initiation of FYLF breeding surveys, and hydrology / water temperature data monitoring.

4.1.2.1 Abundance Surveys

Abundance surveys will be conducted four times during each monitoring year, including twice in the spring/early summer to detect eggs and early tadpoles, once in the summer to detect tadpoles, and once in late September/early October to detect YOY juveniles.

The first spring visit will be conducted when river temperatures reach a daily average of approximately 11–12°C (51.8–54.6°F) and when breeding is verified at one of the monitoring sites in the bypass or peaking reaches (refer to Timing and Initiation of Breeding Season Surveys below). These surveys will follow the Visual Encounter Protocol described in Measuring and Monitoring Biological Diversity: Standard Methods for Amphibians (Heyer et al. 1994; Attachment A) and incorporate United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) decontamination guidelines (Appendix B of USFWS 2005) or their successors. Surveyor and sampling bias will be reduced by using following procedures:

- Using only highly experienced survey personnel;
- Using the same protocols for all surveys through the license term¹; and
- Training all personnel on the survey protocols prior to each field season.

¹ The survey protocol will only be modified through mutual agreement between PCWA, CDFG, and USDA-FS.
At each monitoring location, two surveyors will search the stream banks, back channel areas, and potential instream habitats for FYLF by progressing in a slow, methodical fashion. To aid in the detection of eggs and tadpoles, surveyors will use a viewing box in shallow margin areas and snorkel in deeper water where feasible. Chin photographs will be taken of any adult FYLF captured.

At each sampling location, a minimum of 1,000 meters (m) will be surveyed, with two exceptions. At the most upstream monitoring locations in the Middle Fork American and Rubicon rivers (i.e., site MF29.4 and R20.9 in 2011 [FYLFPMP Table 1]), breeding surveys (egg masses) will cover an additional 1,000 m downstream of the standard survey area. The purpose of these extended surveys is to document potential downstream shifts in breeding sites that may result from reduced water temperatures due to higher base flows.

For sites located at tributary confluences, a minimum of 1,000 m will be surveyed in the mainstem, as well as 1,000 m up the tributary where possible. The actual distance surveyed will be recorded and the approximate area surveyed for tadpoles will be recorded. During each survey, data (time of the survey, Global Positioning System [GPS] locations, weather conditions, and water and air temperatures at the channel margin and within the channel) will be collected to describe the sampling site and document observations (lifestage, sex, size, developmental Gosner stage [Gosner 1960], and GPS location) of FYLF. A California Native Species Field Survey form for FYLF detections will be prepared and submitted to the California Natural Diversity Database (CNDDB) following successful detections of FYLF.

4.1.2.2 Distribution Surveys

During each monitoring year, distribution surveys will be completed once in the late summer to document the extent of the FYLF upstream distribution in the Rubicon River and Middle Fork American River between Ralston Afterbay and Middle Fork Interbay. Distribution surveys will be presence/absence surveys that will extend no farther upstream than the current known elevation limit of FYLF in the Sierra Nevada, 4,500 feet. The surveys will be conducted in early fall to detect YOY, juvenile and adult frogs. Five habitat areas (with suitable breeding and rearing habitat) within approximately 3 miles upstream of the current documented FYLF extent will be surveyed. Habitat areas and survey extent will be selected from mapping conducted previously (PCWA 2011), aerial photography, and in consultation with the USDA-FS, State Water Board, and CDFG. If FYLF populations become established at the monitoring sites on the Middle Fork River in the peaking reach, then distribution surveys will be extended to include the peaking reach.

4.1.2.3 Timing of Initiation of Breeding Season Surveys

During each monitoring year, to determine the timing of the initiation of breeding season, repeat reconnaissance level surveys will be conducted at MF26.2, MF14.1 (Otter Creek), and R3.5 on the Middle Fork American River and Rubicon River, respectively, prior to and up to the initiation of breeding (FYLFPMP Table 1; FYLFMP
Middle Fork American River Project (FERC Project No. 2079)

Map 1). If road access is not available to Otter Creek (MF 14.1), this site will not be used for initiation of breeding surveys and an alternative site, MF 9.3 (Gas Canyon Creek) will be used. The surveys will begin in late March–May, depending on when daily average water temperatures are estimated to be approaching 11–12°C. Continuous, 15-minute water temperatures recorders shall be placed in the thalweg and edgewater during the initiation of breeding season surveys. At least two surveyors will conduct a visual search for egg masses in the most likely breeding habitats (<1,000 m of stream). The Gosner stage of any egg masses will be identified. Surveys will continue on a weekly basis until the start of breeding has been confirmed at each of the study sites (e.g., one or more egg masses are observed).

4.1.2.4 Hydrology and Water Temperature Data Monitoring

Hydrology (at existing gages) and water temperatures (continuous, 15-minute) will be monitored from April 1 through October 31 during the years when surveys are completed. Water temperatures will be monitored on the bypass and peaking reaches and on comparison river reaches pursuant to the Water Temperature Monitoring Plan.

4.1.3 Analysis Methods

Analysis methods for the general purpose monitoring abundance surveys, distribution surveys, and timing of initiation of breeding season surveys are described below.

4.1.3.1 Abundance Surveys

The number of observations by life stage (egg mass, tadpoles, juveniles, adults), by monitoring site, and by survey date will be summarized in tabular format. FYLF densities by lifestage (average number of observations per kilometer [km]) at each monitoring site will be calculated. In addition, tadpole density per area (numbers/m²) will be calculated. The life stage density monitoring data will be presented along with the data collected as part of the AQ 12 – TSR (PCWA 2011) and data from any of the previous FYLF monitoring surveys to identify trends in relative abundance and age distributions. Hydrology (i.e., spring accretion flows, recent spill history and timing), water temperature, and other data collected as part of the overall monitoring program for the MFP will be reviewed, summarized, and presented with the abundance data. For each survey year, at least one graph will depict FYLF abundance relative to hydrology and water temperature information. Any FYLF that are captured and chin photos are taken will be compared to FYLF captured previously to identify FYLF movement patterns.

4.1.3.2 Distribution Surveys

The distribution survey data from the Middle Fork American River and Rubicon River and the data from each of the sampling sites in the bypass and peaking reaches will be used to update the distribution map for FYLF. The distribution map will be presented along with the data collected during previous monitoring surveys (including data collected in the AQ 12 – TSR [PCWA 2011]). Recent hydrology, water temperature,
and other data collected as part of the surveys, will be reviewed, summarized, and presented with the observed distribution patterns, as appropriate.

4.1.3.3 Timing of Initiation of Breeding Season Surveys

The timing of initiation of breeding observed at the bypass, peaking, and comparison river monitoring sites will be presented relative to water temperature and hydrology data. The timing of oviposition will be estimated and presented in tables and figures along with observed water temperature and flow data. Breeding timing observations will be presented along with the data collected during previous monitoring surveys and data collected as part of the AQ 12 – TSR (PCWA 2011) to illustrate initiation of breeding trends.

4.2 SPECIAL PURPOSE MONITORING

Special purpose monitoring includes supplemental initiation of breeding season monitoring, annual fall maintenance outage monitoring, and emergency outage monitoring.

4.2.1 Supplemental Initiation of Breeding Season Monitoring

Supplemental initiation of breeding season surveys will be used to monitor the timing of initiation of breeding in relation to the timing of pulse flow releases.

4.2.1.1 Monitoring Locations and Schedule

Initiation of breeding season surveys will be conducted in the first two years that pulse flow releases are implemented. If initiation of breeding surveys are not conducted under Section 4.1.2.3, then supplemental surveys will be conducted at MF26.2 and R3.5 (FYLFMP Table 1; FYLFMP Map 1) on the Middle Fork American River and Rubicon River, respectively. If results are not sufficient to be applicable to future years and different water year types, then additional surveys in future years may be required.

4.2.1.2 Sampling Methods

The surveys will begin in late March–May, depending on when daily average water temperatures are estimated to be approaching 11–12°C. At least two surveyors will conduct a visual search for egg masses in the most likely breeding habitats within the study site (<1,000 m of stream). The Gosner stage of any egg masses will be identified. Surveys will continue weekly until breeding has been confirmed (e.g., one or more egg masses are observed).

4.2.1.3 Analyses Methods

The timing of initiation of breeding will be presented along with relevant water temperature and hydrology data. Oviposition timing will be presented in a table and figure along with the observed water temperature and discharge data. Breeding timing
information will be presented along with the data collected during any of the previous monitoring surveys, including data collected as part of the AQ 12 – TSR (PCWA 2011).

4.2.2 Annual Fall Maintenance Outage Monitoring

Annual fall maintenance outage monitoring will be used to characterize FYLF populations in relation to flow changes in the bypass reaches during the maintenance outage.

4.2.2.1 Monitoring Locations and Schedule

PCWA will monitor FYLF during the annual maintenance outage in Years 1, 2, and 3 after license issuance. The study locations will be MF26.2, R3.5, and R20.9 (FYLFMP Table 1; FYLFMP Map 1). These are representative study sites in the bypass reaches with known FYLF populations that may be affected by changes in flows during the outages.

4.2.2.2 Sampling Methods

PCWA will monitor FYLF, water temperature, and hydrology (e.g., river stage, flow) during the annual fall maintenance outage. In particular, the monitoring will focus on determining the life stages of the FYLF present during this period and identifying the specific locations within the study areas that are being occupied. Surveys will occur on three occasions associated with the annual maintenance outage: (1) within one week prior to the initiation of increased maintenance outage flows; (2) immediately following outage flow stabilization (as measured at the stream gages in the Middle Fork American river upstream of Ralston Afterbay and the Rubicon River upstream of Ralston Powerhouse); and (3) immediately following the end of the outage and resumption and stabilization of normal minimum instream flow releases. The surveys will focus on enumerating and determining the life stage (tadpole, juvenile, adult) of FYLF present and mapping their location relative to the stream channel (e.g., stream margin, mid-channel bar, etc.). The FYLF locations and channel margins (at each observed flow) will be mapped on aerial photographs or topography maps. Water temperature and hydrology data from the nearest temperature and flow monitoring sites will be collected. Stage-discharge relationships developed for the gage nearest to the monitoring locations or developed as part of the relicensing studies will be used to help analyze the stage changes during the outage.

4.2.2.3 Analyses Methods

The pre-, during, and post-outage flow numbers and life stages of FLYF observed will be presented along with relevant water temperature and river stage/flow data. The maps of FYLF locations and channel margins (at each observed flow) will be presented. Qualitative observations of the effects of flow changes on FYLF during the monitoring be will reported, as appropriate.
4.2.3 Emergency Outage Monitoring

FYLF monitoring will be used to characterize FYLF populations if a large flow event occurs as a result of an emergency outage at a PCWA facility in the bypass reaches.

4.2.3.1 Monitoring Locations and Schedule

PCWA will survey for FYLF if an emergency outage at a PCWA facility occurs that substantially alters flows in the Middle Fork American River between Middle Fork Interbay and Ralston Afterbay and the Rubicon River below Hell Hole Dam. If an emergency outage occurs between May and September that alters flow by more than 100 cfs$^2$ (approximately 1.0-foot-stage change) in one of these reaches, PCWA will notify the USDA-FS, State Water Resources Control Board (State Water Board), and California Department of Fish and Game (CDFG), as soon as possible, and will consult with them to determine if monitoring needs to occur.

4.2.3.2 Sampling Methods

Sampling methods will be determined in coordination with the USDA-FS, State Water Board, and CDFG.

4.2.3.3 Analyses Methods

Analysis methods will be determined in coordination with the USDA-FS, State Water Board, and CDFG.

5.0 REPORTING AND CONSULTATION

General Purpose Monitoring and Special Purpose FYLF Monitoring reports will be prepared and distributed to the USDA-FS, State Water Board, and CDFG for review and comment within 120 days following the completion of each monitoring year. The reports, where appropriate, will follow the general presentation layout for the FYLF data provided in the AQ 12 – TSR (PCWA 2011). In addition, once QA/QC is complete, PCWA will provide FYLF data in standard formats (e.g., Microsoft Excel, Microsoft Access or other commonly used spreadsheet or database, and GIS data layers), to the above agencies. A 60-day review period will be provided to the agencies. Based on the results of the monitoring and comments received during the review process, PCWA and the agencies may hold a meeting to discuss the results or modify the monitoring program. Within 60 days of receipt of comments, or within 60 days following any meeting, comments will be addressed and the final report will be filed by PCWA with the agencies (USDA-FS, State Water Board, USFWS, and CDFG) and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC or Commission).

$^2$ As measured at the streamflow gage in the Middle Fork American River downstream of Middle Fork Interbay and in the Rubicon River downstream of Hell Hole Reservoir.
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### FYLFMP Table 1. Foothill Yellow-Legged Frog Monitoring Site Locations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>River and Monitoring Sites (River Mile)</th>
<th>Reach Type</th>
<th>Monitoring Site Type</th>
<th>Tributary Confluence Site</th>
<th>General Purpose Monitoring (22 Years)</th>
<th>Special Purpose Monitoring</th>
<th>Relation to Monitoring Plan Objective</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bypass Reach</td>
<td>Peaking Reach</td>
<td>Comparison Reach</td>
<td>egg mass abundance surveys (Years 1-10)</td>
<td>pulse flow initiation of breeding (Years 1-2)</td>
<td>annual fall maintenance outage (Years 1-3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Middle Fork American River</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMPH MF6.4</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMPH MF9.3</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMPH MF14.1</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMPH MF24.4</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMPH MF26.2</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMPH MF29.4</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rubicon River</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMPH R1.2</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMPH R3.5</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMPH R14.3</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMPH R20.9</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>North Fork American River</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMPH NF35.7</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>North Fork of the Middle Fork American River</strong></td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMPH NFMF2.3</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. All study sites will be surveyed a minimum of 1,000 m in stream length. Tributary site surveys will also include a minimum of 1,000 m upstream on the tributary where possible.
2. First 3 out of 5 years, year 7, 8, 13, 14, the 4th, 5th 9th and 10th years of subsequent decades, and 3 years prior to filing the NOI.
3. These location will be surveyed every other sampling occasion.
4. This site is the bypass channel downstream of Ralston Afterbay Dam.
5. The location of this site will be adjusted in collaboration with the USDA-FS and CDFG to best represent the upstream distribution of Foothill yellow-legged frogs.
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ATTACHMENT A

Measuring and Monitoring Biological Diversity: Standard Methods for Amphibians (Heyer et al., 1994)
STANDARD VES (HEYER et al. 1994) WITH AUGMENTED FIELD DATASHEETS


This Visual Encounter Survey (VES) protocol and associated datasheet are for use in stream reaches up to several thousand feet in length where information on all lifestages and the micro-habitat associations of each individual is desired. The data from this survey protocol is intended to (1) describe the abundance, distribution and micro-habitat associations of \( R. \ boylii \), and (2) provide the data necessary to coordinate with other stream reach study efforts, such as instream flow studies where hydrodynamic modeling will be used.

The VES protocol is as described in Heyer et al. (1994), and is summarized for stream habitats as follows. Habitats are searched along a several meter wide transect parallel to the stream at the water’s edge, and the number of animals encountered over a period of time is recorded. Using a moderate level of search effort, individuals active on the surface of the ground, on rocks, or at the water’s edge are identified, and captured and measured if possible. Rocks, logs and other surface cover objects are also overturned in search of individuals, then returned to their original position to minimize disturbance to the habitat. Habitats are not systematically destroyed in order to find animals, and voucher specimens are not collected unless absolutely necessary for identification. In shallow water habitats, hand dip nets are used to capture individual adults and sub-adults, and to seine the channel bottom to collect tadpoles. This search effort in shallow water habitats is balanced to minimize habitat disturbance, but adequately sift through any silt, gravel or vegetation where individuals may be hiding. Use of a viewing box in shallow, wadable areas to help in detection of egg and tadpole lifestages is recommended. Likewise, where safe and possible, snorkeling in deeper water (0.5–2 m deep) adjacent to good breeding habitat (e.g., edges of cobble bars) can greatly aid in detection of egg masses, and is recommended during spring surveys. To effectively survey stream segments, both banks are walked with a minimum of two surveyors. Wherever possible, surveys are completed walking upstream so that as individuals seek cover in the stream, often swimming downstream, they are not counted twice. In addition, eggmasses are generally attached to the downstream side of cobbles and are easier to detect when walking upstream. However, surveys could proceed in the downstream direction if surveyors are well-practiced in identification, are manually feeling and checking behind cobbles and boulders for eggmasses, and can adequately keep track of any downstream migrating individuals.

A list data to be included on data sheets is provided below. A list of field equipment required to complete the surveys is attached at the end of this protocol. In general, equipment should be selected to be lightweight and compact enough to fit within a daypack so that surveyors can be fully mobile.
General Data

Site: Name of stream and reach to be surveyed. If sub-reaches are used, clarify which sections are to be surveyed. For example: South Yuba River, Reach A-1, river mile 12.5–13.5.

Start/End UTM: Coordinates of start and end survey locations on the stream in NAD27 datum (designate other datum if needed). Record saved waypoint (wypt) number (note range of accuracy of point (in meters).

Elevation: Record from Topo map or GPS and circle source (note range of error)

Photo numbers: Record digital photo ID numbers for photos taken throughout survey. Include photos of the start and end locations, typical mid-channel habitat, typical edgewater and backwater habitats, examples of breeding habitat (occupied or otherwise), example individuals where possible (adults, juveniles, eggs and tadpoles) and any other interesting or unique habitat features.

Observers(s): Names of surveyors

Date: Month, Day, Year

Survey Start/End Time: Record start/end times of survey (note time of breaks for lunch, etc on bottom of sheet if necessary). This should reflect actual survey/search time.

Weather: Describe general cloud cover; enter code from list:

C Clear

PC Partly Cloudy

MC Mostly Cloudy

O Overcast

R Rainy

Start/End Temp (C): Record temperature of air (in the shade) and water (thalweg and edgewater) at start, mid-day (if applicable) and end of survey. Edgewater temp should be within 0.3 m of shore in a shallow slow-moving location.
Bullfrogs? Fish? Note presence/absence of bullfrogs, fish or crayfish anywhere in survey reach. If needed, add notes at bottom of page.

Field sketch completed? At the bottom of the page, note whether a rough field sketch was completed on the back of the datasheet. The sketch serves as rough indicator of habitat throughout the survey reach and can be used to delineate which portions of the reach may not have been surveyed (e.g., very deep or fast areas near a steep heavily vegetated bank).

Life Stage/Sex: Note life stage of individual; enter code from list:

- AF Adult Female
- AM Adult Male
- AU Adult Unknown
- J Juvenile/Sub-adult
- Y Young of Year/metamorph (newly emerged – fall only)
- T Tadpole
- E Egg mass

Length (mm): Snout to vent length for adults/sub-adults; Total length for tadpoles; Diameter for egg masses

Developmental Stage: Gosner stage for egg masses and tadpoles. If categorized, then note categories on back of datasheet.

Location of Observation: Code or some identifier of location in survey reach where observation was recorded. Could be a GPS waypoint number or an associated meso-habitat number correlating to another study.

Comments: Include here any information on local habitat condition, species condition, presence of non-natives, photo description, etc.
LITERATURE CITED:


USFSR5 meso-habitat types
Field Equipment List

Required:

Field notebook
Datasheets (w/copy of survey protocol) and clipboard
Clean copies of study site aerial/topo maps (for sketching habitats, etc.)
Pencil, pen, sharpie
Stopwatch
Flagging
Thermometer
Binoculars
Dip net or small handheld net for scooping tadpoles and catching individuals
Clear see-thru rulers (marked in metric) to measure individual length
Small clear plastic vial or wide-mouth bottle to capture tads for identification
Camera – extra batteries, memory card
Scale for pictures (ruler, pencil of known length, etc.)
Handheld GPS – extra batteries
Waders
First Aid kit
Personal – water, food, sunscreen, bug juice, etc.
**Recommended:**

Viewing box (ideal if made of plexiglass, but could be lightweight plastic with clear plastic affixed to hole in bottom)

Snorkeling gear – drysuit, mask/snorkel, shoes

Rope to tie off and use in swift water

Hand lens (aid in identifying mouth parts on tadpoles)

30m tape – w/metric markings

**Optional:**

Range finder – to record large scale distances (river width, length of bar, etc.)

Compass

Walkie talkies

Inflatable kayak, inner tube, or some means of floating river if needed – includes lifejackets, drybags, paddles, ropes, etc.