

**Placer County Water Agency
Middle Fork American River Hydroelectric Project
(FERC No. 2079)**

**RALSTON AFTERBAY
RECONNAISSANCE-LEVEL HARDHEAD
WATER TEMPERATURE SUITABILITY INVESTIGATION
STUDY PLAN**

Prepared for:



Placer County Water Agency
144 Ferguson Road
Auburn, CA 95604

July 11, 2006

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
1.0 Introduction.....	1
2.0 Objectives.....	1
3.0 Historical Ralston Afterbay Elevation Fluctuation	2
4.0 Previous Ralston Afterbay Thermal Profile Results	2
5.0 Monitoring Location Site Selection Methodology	3
6.0 Location Assessment Analysis	4
7.0 Water Temperature Logger Installation Methodology.....	5
8.0 Data Collection	5
9.0 Reporting.....	6
10.0 References	7

LIST OF FIGURES

- Figure 1. Ralston Afterbay Elevations (in feet) during June 1995.
- Figure 2. Ralston Afterbay Elevations (in feet) during July 1995.
- Figure 3. Ralston Afterbay Elevations (in feet) during August 1995.
- Figure 4. Ralston Afterbay Elevations (in feet) during September 1995.
- Figure 5. Ralston Afterbay Elevations (in feet) during October 1995.
- Figure 6. Ralston Afterbay Elevations (in feet) during June 2000.
- Figure 7. Ralston Afterbay Elevations (in feet) during July 2000.
- Figure 8. Ralston Afterbay Elevations (in feet) during August 2000.
- Figure 9. Ralston Afterbay Elevations (in feet) during September 2000.
- Figure 10. Ralston Afterbay Elevations (in feet) during October 2000.
- Figure 11. Ralston Afterbay Elevations (in feet) during June 2001.
- Figure 12. Ralston Afterbay Elevations (in feet) during July 2001.
- Figure 13. Ralston Afterbay Elevations (in feet) during August 2001.
- Figure 14. Ralston Afterbay Elevations (in feet) during September 2001.
- Figure 15. Ralston Afterbay Elevations (in feet) during October 2001.
- Figure 16. Ralston Afterbay Water Temperature Profiles Collected during July through October 2005.
- Figure 17. The Six Ralston Afterbay Reaches to be Assessed for Potential Hardhead Habitat Suitability.

APPENDIX

Appendix A. Draft Data Collection Data Sheet.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

During the Placer County Water Agency's (PCWA's) Middle Fork American River Hydroelectric Project (MFP or Project) flow-temperature subcommittee meeting held on May 6, 2005, the U.S. Forest Service requested that PCWA conduct a reconnaissance-level investigation to evaluate the suitability of water temperature in Ralston Afterbay for hardhead (*Mylopharodon conocephalus*). Ralston Afterbay is located about 80 km northeast of Sacramento, California, and about 52 km upstream from Folsom Dam. It serves as a re-regulating reservoir for Ralston Powerhouse, which is located at the upstream end of the reservoir on the Rubicon River.

Hardhead are large minnows (family *cyprinidae*) found throughout low- to mid-elevation streams in the Sacramento-San Joaquin drainage, as well as the Russian River. Hardhead tend to favor waters with summer temperatures in excess of 68°F (20°C), selecting the warmest available water within the stream (e.g., Baltz et al. 1987). Laboratory preference studies indicate that hardhead choose water temperatures between 75.2-82.4°F (24-28°C) (SMUD 2004). Hardhead also tend to prefer stream reaches with sand-gravel-boulder substrates, slow (20-40 cm/sec) velocities and higher oxygen levels (e.g., Moyle and Nichols 1973). Nearly always found in association with Sacramento pikeminnow (*Ptychocheilus grandis*), hardhead tend to be absent from streams populated primarily by introduced species. Although they tend to be negatively associated with highly disturbed areas, hardhead are known to be abundant in a few mid-elevation hydroelectric reservoirs (e.g., Redinger, Kerkhoff, and Britton Reservoirs) (Moyle and Nichols 1973). Within Britton Reservoir, hardhead are most abundant in the upstream reaches where habitat is more riverine, versus the more lacustrine downstream reaches favored by introduced predatory fish (e.g., bass). The margin habitat areas of reservoirs reportedly are used by juvenile hardhead (SMUD 2004).

PCWA's consultant, Surface Water Resources, Inc. (SWRI) will conduct a reconnaissance-level investigation to evaluate the potential suitability of water temperature in Ralston Afterbay for hardhead. On July 14, 2005, SWRI applied the draft assessment methodology described in this Study Plan in an effort to fine-tune the methodology and to become familiar with Ralston Afterbay and its habitats. Upon approval of this Study Plan, SWRI anticipates performing a final assessment to further evaluate potential water temperature monitoring locations. The water temperature loggers will be installed following completion of the site assessment.

2.0 OBJECTIVES

The objective of the site assessment is to locate six sites in the Ralston Afterbay where stationary water temperature loggers will be installed. Monitoring site selection criteria will include reported hardhead habitat preferences, including depth, water velocity, substrate, water quality, and vegetation. Overall, sites will be selected for water temperature monitoring that: (1) are representative of the available habitat in Ralston Afterbay; (2) contain habitat characteristics that resemble those habitat conditions

reported to be suitable, ideal, or preferred for the various life stages of hardhead; and (3) allow for the sufficient longitudinal coverage of the reservoir. The goal of this study, along with the on-going reservoir water temperature profiling, is to characterize the water temperature regime in Ralston Afterbay under typical operations.

3.0 HISTORICAL RALSTON AFTERBAY ELEVATION FLUCTUATION

Ralston Afterbay may experience daily fluctuations in reservoir elevation depending upon: (1) daily power generation peaking operations at Ralston Powerhouse and a non-coincident release pattern at Oxbow Powerhouse; and (2) seasonal fluctuations in response to (1) (above) and the amount of total runoff for the season. An inspection of Ralston Powerhouse generation records for the past ten years (1994 - 2004) shows that based on delivered generation, 2001 represents the approximately driest one-third of years, 2000 represents the approximately average one-third of years, and 1995 represents the approximately wettest one-third of years. Additionally, there were more 'extreme' wet and dry years. Figures 1 through 15 present Ralston Afterbay Reservation daily elevation (in feet) for the June through October periods in 1995, 2000, and 2001. Note that the decrease in Ralston Afterbay elevation during September is the result of scheduled annual maintenance of Ralston and Oxbow Powerhouses and maintenance work on Ralston Afterbay Dam. An outage of two to three weeks (depending on required maintenance and safety work) is scheduled each year during September. The subsequent increase in Ralston Afterbay elevation during October represents refilling of the Ralston Afterbay and resuming power generation at Ralston and Oxbow powerhouses following the completion of the maintenance activities.

It is premature to anticipate the operations of the Ralston Powerhouse during 2006. Based on past operations, the Ralston Afterbay elevation likely would remain relatively constant from May through the first half of September, at which point, a substantial decrease in elevation would occur resulting from powerhouse maintenance. However, diurnal fluctuations or fluctuations of a few days of up to several feet as a result of power generation requirements or other factors are likely. The range of possible reservoir elevation fluctuation will be considered when assessing and installing water temperature monitoring sites because of the potential for dewatering the water temperature loggers.

Additionally, Figures 1 through 15 also illustrate the potential inter-annual variability in reservoir elevation at Ralston Afterbay. The year-to-year variability in reservoir elevation fluctuation, coupled with climatological and hydrological variability, is anticipated to have direct impacts to the seasonal and annual Ralston Afterbay water temperature regime. Therefore, water temperatures in Ralston Afterbay potentially exhibit relative large year-to-year variation.

4.0 PREVIOUS RALSTON AFTERBAY THERMAL PROFILE RESULTS

Reservoir water temperatures profiles were taken at Ralston Afterbay on July 14, August 16, September 19, and October 25, 2005. Figure 16 presents the results of the

water temperature profiles. The profiles were taken in the deepest part (approximately 23-26 feet deep) of the reservoir, in the middle, that is legally accessible by boat (the section of reservoir near the dam face is restricted for safety). The profile location is presented in Figure 17. As illustrated in Figure 16, the upper approximately 10 feet, especially the upper 5 feet, of Ralston Afterbay is subject to some thermal heating and the lower approximately 15 feet is fairly homogeneous at approximately 47-51°F. Surface water temperatures during the warmest months (July and August) were up to about 8°F warmer than surface temperatures in September and October. Reservoir profiling will continue to be conducted at Ralston Afterbay during 2006.

5.0 MONITORING LOCATION SITE SELECTION METHODOLOGY

At least ten potential monitoring locations will be assessed as part of the investigation. The ten potential monitoring locations to be assessed will be identified by stratifying Ralston Afterbay into six approximately equal-length reaches (Figure 17). Reach 1 will not be assessed because it is located in a restricted portion of the reservoir (between the dam and the log boom, where boats are not allowed as a safety precaution). The potential monitoring sites to be assessed will then be selected in the field by weighting factors such as slope, depth, water velocity, water temperature, and representativeness of the available habitat within the specific reach. Within each reach, at least one relatively deeper site and one relatively shallower site will be assessed. More sites will be assessed if time permits.

The monitoring location assessment will generally focus on the margins of Ralston Afterbay because, although Ralston Afterbay is generally steep-sided, the margins of the reservoir will generally provide relatively shallow water compared to the main channel. The investigation will focus on margin habitat also because these areas are generally subject to more thermal heating due to the relatively slow water velocities and shallower habitat conditions. Additionally, although the literature suggests that hardhead may prefer the upstream, more riverine portion of Ralston Afterbay, a reach was not established at the upper end of the reservoir because: (1) water velocities at the upper end of the reservoir (downstream from the Ralston Powerhouse outlet) minimize potential lateral water temperature variation; and, (2) water temperature is currently being monitored at the upper end of the reservoir (OX1) by stationary water temperature loggers that were installed in 2004.

Utilizing this location selection methodology will result in a water temperature monitoring station array that contains sufficient spatial coverage in Ralston Afterbay to allow for a broad characterization of available water temperatures as well as one that considers hardhead habitat suitability.

At each potential monitoring station, the following data will be collected:

- Time
- Location in UTM

- Water temperature in degrees Celsius
- Air temperature in degrees Celsius
- Depth in meters at the expected installation point
- Water column velocity in centimeters per second
- Dissolved oxygen content
- Large woody debris: presence and number of logs in each of four size classes (1-5 cm, 6-10 cm, 11-50 cm, >51cm)
- Root wads: presence and total count
- Submerged vegetation: percent cover of both rooted and floating vegetation
- Substrate: percent cover of silt, sand, gravel, cobble, and boulder substrate classes
- Turbidity: rated on a scale from one to five, with one being completely clear and five being opaque
- Riparian zone width in meters on each bank
- Bank description including the presence of undercut banks, as well as composition, slope, dominant vegetation, and signs of bank erosion
- Channel width in meters
- Stream habitat type: riffle, pool, or run
- Anthropogenic channel alterations

The draft data collection data sheet is attached for reference (Appendix A).

6.0 LOCATION ASSESSMENT ANALYSIS

After collection, the data from each location that was assessed will be examined and compared to hardhead habitat preferences reported in the literature. Numerous studies have identified water temperature, substrate, depth, and velocity as the most important factors affecting microhabitat choice by hardhead (e.g., Moyle and Nichols 1973), thus, these variables will be important factors affecting site selection. The remaining habitat variables will be used to further narrow the selections, as necessary. Six sites will be selected for water temperature logger installation that, when combined, meet the following site selection criteria:

- represent the available habitat in Ralston Afterbay;

- contain habitat characteristics that resemble those habitat conditions reported to be suitable, ideal, or preferred for the various life stages of hardhead; and
- sufficiently cover the reservoir longitudinally.

7.0 WATER TEMPERATURE LOGGER INSTALLATION METHODOLOGY

Prior to installation, the water temperature loggers will be checked to verify their accuracy. Each logger will be completely submerged in an insulated container containing crushed ice and water. The container will then be placed in a refrigerator to minimize temperature gradients and left for fifteen minutes while logging to allow the logger to reach equilibrium. The data then will be offloaded and inspected to check the logger's accuracy. The actual temperature will be above 0°C, though less than 0.1°C. Loggers that fail the test (i.e., record temperatures that exceed the manufacturer's stated logger accuracy of $\pm 0.2^\circ\text{C}$) will be retested. Loggers that fail a second accuracy test will not be used.

The six water temperature loggers will be installed using a float, tether, and anchor. A small buoy will be utilized as the float and will be anchored with a small diameter nylon rope using enough weight to ensure that it will not be moved downstream by the current. The buoys will be fixed with labels identifying them as water temperature monitoring devices and containing contact information. The water temperature logger will be attached to the rope one meter below the float.

There is some concern that changes in Ralston Afterbay elevation will cause the float, tether, and logger to move or possibly be beached. To ensure that the water temperature loggers remain in their proper positions, SWRI will communicate with PCWA Power Systems throughout the monitoring period to obtain current information on reservoir levels. In the event that a substantial reservoir elevation change is planned for a long duration (i.e., greater than a few days), SWRI will visit the water temperature monitoring locations and adjust the position of loggers, if appropriate.

8.0 DATA COLLECTION

The six water temperature loggers will be visited at least once a month following installation through late September for general site maintenance and data download. Additional site maintenance will occur as necessary. Data download protocols will follow those identified in the Water Temperature Study Plan. Additionally, prior to removing the loggers from the water for downloading, a NIST-certified traceable thermometer will be used to measure the water temperature at the logger. This temperature will be compared to the last recorded water temperature in the logger to check the accuracy of the logger. Also, a water temperature profile will be taken at each of the individual monitoring sites. These protocols will be evaluated based on the results obtained throughout the season and adjustments may be made as necessary to the monitoring program to respond to challenges. The water temperature loggers will be removed following the September data download, prior to the Ralston Powerhouse

annual maintenance. During the maintenance period, water temperature will be monitored in Ralston Afterbay using the same method that is used to monitor stream water temperature.

9.0 REPORTING

A summary report will be prepared following data collection. The summary report will follow the reporting outline provided in the Water Temperature Study Plan. All raw data will be provided with the summary report in electronic format (Excel[®]).

PCWA will also consult with the resource agencies as the 2006 study effort proceeds to discuss progress and results. Following the first sampling date, PCWA will coordinate a meeting with the water temperature subgroup to discuss the data collection effort and sampling time needed to conduct the profiles and spot measurements. The purpose of this discussion will be to determine whether the data collection effort can be reduced during future sampling periods.

10.0 REFERENCES

Baltz, D.M., B. Vondracek, L.R. Brown, and P.B. Moyle. 1987. Influence of temperature on microhabitat choice by fishes in a California stream. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 116:12-20.

Moyle, P.B. and R. Nichols. 1973. Ecology of some native and introduced fishes of the Sierra Nevada foothills in central California. Copeia 1973(3): 478-490.

Sacramento Municipal Utilities District (SMUD). 2004. Upper American River Project, FERC Project No. 2101, Appendix B, Habitat Suitability Criteria Development Figures and Curves Coordinates.

FIGURES




Figure 1. Ralston Afterbay Elevations (in feet) during June 1995.




Figure 2. Ralston Afterbay Elevations (in feet) during July 1995.




Figure 3. Ralston Afterbay Elevations (in feet) during August 1995.




Figure 4. Ralston Afterbay Elevations (in feet) during September 1995. Please note scale change.




Figure 5. Ralston Afterbay Elevations (in feet) during October 1995. Please note scale change.




Figure 6. Ralston Afterbay Elevations (in feet) during June 2000.




Figure 7. Ralston Afterbay Elevations (in feet) during July 2000.




Figure 8. Ralston Afterbay Elevations (in feet) during August 2000.




Figure 9. Ralston Afterbay Elevations (in feet) during September 2000. Please note scale change.




Figure 10. Ralston Afterbay Elevations (in feet) during October 2000. Please note scale change.




Figure 11. Ralston Afterbay Elevations (in feet) during June 2001.




Figure 12. Ralston Afterbay Elevations (in feet) during July 2001.




Figure 13. Ralston Afterbay Elevations (in feet) during August 2001.




Figure 14. Ralston Afterbay Elevations (in feet) during September 2001. Please note scale change.




Figure 15. Ralston Afterbay Elevations (in feet) during October 2001. Please note scale change.




Figure 16. Ralston Afterbay Water Temperature Profiles Collected during July through October 2005.




Figure 17. The Six Ralston Afterbay Reaches to be Assessed for Potential Hardhead Habitat Suitability. After Assessing the Two Locations within each Reach (a total of 10 locations), Six will be Selected for Water Temperature Monitoring.

APPENDIX A

Draft Data Collection Data Sheet

Ralston Afterbay Water Temperature Monitoring Location Assessment Data Sheet

Location:		Observer:			
Date:		Time:			
Digital Photo # (s):					
Site GPS:	Data used:	Easting:		Northing:	
Water Temperature:			Air Temperature:		
Depth:		Approx. Water Column Velocity:			DO:
LWD: Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	# Observed by size class (cm):	1-5:	6-10:	11-50:	>51:
No <input type="checkbox"/>					
Root Wads: Y <input type="checkbox"/> N <input type="checkbox"/>		# Observed:			
Submerged Vegetation (approximate % cover):	Rooted:	Floating:	Fish Observed: Y <input type="checkbox"/> N <input type="checkbox"/>		
	Species:				
	# observed:				
Substrate:	Silt (%):	Sand (%):	Gravel (%):	Cobble (%):	Boulder (%):
Turbidity: (1-5; 1=clear, 5=opaque)		Level of Substrate Embeddedness:			
Riparian Zone Width (m):	Right Bank:	Left Bank:	Dominant Veg.:		
% of Stream Shaded:					
Bank Description:	Undercut? Y <input type="checkbox"/> N <input type="checkbox"/>		Approx Slope: Left:		Right:
	Bank Composition:			Signs of Erosion?: Y <input type="checkbox"/> N <input type="checkbox"/>	
	Dominant Bank Vegetation:				
Approx. Channel width (m):		Stream Habitat Type (pool, run, etc.):			
Notes:					